

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,422	07/07/2003	Hiroaki Ueda	16803	7447
23389 7590 10/16/2007 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530			EXAMINER	
			TEKLE, DANIEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
g S	10/614,422	UEDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Tekle	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become AB A	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)[3)□ Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice to	☐ This action is non-final. allowance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to be n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been i Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No seceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date /ormal Patent Application _·	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed July 27, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1, 12, 17, 22, 24 and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The amended claims 1, 12, 17, 22, 24 and 26 raises new matter since the words "periodic intervals" not support in the specification or drawing. Applicant citied on page 9 2nd paragraph of the remark to support the words "periodic intervals" in Fig. 3, 6 and 8 and the corresponding portions of the specification. In response the examiner have not find the words "periodic intervals" in the figures or the specification to support the amended claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2621

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MacCormack et al (US 6,144,797).

Regarding Claim 1: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data compression archiver comprising: an encoder compressing non-compressed video image data to generate compressed video image data; and an encoder controller connected to encoder to control a frame size, a frame rate, and an average bit rate of compressed video image data in response to at least one parameter selected from a group consisting of: a number of frames of non-compressed video image data, a recording time of non-compressed video image data, and a free area of a recording medium for recording compressed video image data (columns 61-62, lines 60-6; column 62 lines 25-46; column 86 lines 16-30 and figure 136).

Regarding Claim 2: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 1, wherein said encoder controller decides average bit rate in response to at least one parameter, and decides frame size and said frame rate based on average bit rate (columns 61-62, lines 60-6).

Regarding Claim 3: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 1, wherein encoder controller monitors free area of recording medium, and modifies at lease one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate when detecting a change in free area of recording medium (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and figure 136).

Regarding Claim 4: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 3, wherein encoder controller decreases at least one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate when detecting a decreases in free area of recording medium (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 5: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 3, wherein encoder controller increases at least one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate when detecting an increase in free area of recording medium (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 6: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 1, wherein encoder controller monitors number of frames of non-compressed video image data, and modifies at lease one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate, when detecting a change in number of frames of non-compressed video image data (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 7: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 6, wherein encoder controller decreases at least one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate, when detecting an increase in number of frames of non-compressed video image data (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 8: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 6, wherein encoder controller increases at least one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate, when detecting a decrease in number of frames of

non-compressed video image data (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 9: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 1, wherein encoder controller monitors recording time of non-compressed video image data, and modifies at lease one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate when detecting a change in recording time (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 10: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 9, wherein encoder controller decreases at least one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate when detecting an increase in recording time of original video image (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 11: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 9, wherein encoder controller increases at least one of frame size, frame rate, and average bit rate when detecting a decrease in recording time of original video image (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 12: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data compression archiver comprising: an encoder compressing non-compressed video image data to generate compressed video image data; and an encoder controller connected to encoder to control a frame size, and an average bit rate of compressed video image data in response to at least one parameter selected from a group consisting of: a number of frames of non-compressed video image data, a recording time of non-

Art Unit: 2621

compressed video image data, and a free area of a recording medium for recording compressed video image data (columns 61-62, lines 60-6 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claims 13-16: Claims 13-16 are rejected for the same subject matter as claims 2-3, 6 and 9 respectively.

Regarding Claim 17: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data compression archiver comprising: an encoder compressing non-compressed video image data to generate compressed video image data; and an encoder controller connected to encoder to control a frame rate, and an average bit rate of compressed video image data in response to at least one parameter selected from a group consisting of: a number of frames of non-compressed video image data, a recording time of non-compressed video image data, and a free area of a recording medium for recording compressed video image data (columns 61-62, lines 60-6; column 62 lines 25-46 and column 86 lines 3-13).

Regarding Claim 18: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 17, wherein encoder controller decides average bit rate in response to at least one parameter, and decides frame rate based on average bit rate (column 86 lines 3-31).

Regarding Claim 19: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 17, wherein encoder controller monitors free area of recording medium, and modifies at lease one of frame rate, and average bit rate, when detecting a change in free area of recording medium (column 86 lines 3-31).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding Claim 20: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 17, wherein encoder controller monitors number of frames of non-compressed video image data, and modifies at lease one of frame rate, and average bit rate, when detecting a change in number of frames of non-compressed video image data (column 86 lines 3-31).

Regarding Claim 21: MacCormack et al. disclose a video image data archiver according to claim 17, wherein encoder controller monitors recording time of frames of non-compressed video image data, and modifies at lease one of frame rate, and average bit rate, when detecting a change in recording time of non-compressed video image data (column 86 lines 3-31).

Regarding Claims 22-23: Claims 22-23 are rejected for the same subject matter as claims 1-2 respectively.

Regarding Claims 24-25: Claims 24-25 are rejected for the same subject matter as claims 12-13 respectively.

Regarding Claims 26-27: Claims 26-27 are rejected for the same subject matter as claims 17-18 respectively.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Tekle whose telephone number is 571-270-1117. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am to 5:00pm M-R and 7:30-4:00 Every other F..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2621

Daniel Tekle

Marsha D Bank-Harold

Page 9

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600